

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,740	01/26/2004		John P. Jackam	04-0126-JACK 2847	
54487	7590	10/05/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES & S THE RIVIA	,		TOOMER, CEPHIA D		
		'AY, SUITE 800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77019-2141				1714	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
0.65'	10/766,740	JACKAM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
<u>_</u>	0/06						
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under		•					
Disposition of Claims							
•	e						
4) Claim(s) 77-157 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>77-83,85-94,98-126,129,130,132-137,141 and 146-157</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>84,95-97,100,127,128,131,138-140 and 142-145</u> is/are objected to.							
·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicati	ion No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachment(s)	🗖	(DTO 140)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

2.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 77,78,80-83 and 85-88 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,822,105. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Art Unit: 1714

patentably distinct from each other because the skilled artisan recognizes that fatty esters are recovered by distillation or fractionation.

5. Claims 77-79, 81-83 and 85-88 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,087,771. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the skilled artisan recognizes that fatty esters are recovered by distillation or fractionation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 91-93, 98, 99, 101-123, 133-137, 141, 146 and 147-157 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not pointed out where in the specification these limitations may be found nor are the location of these limitations readily apparent to the examiner.

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 77-81, 83, 86, 89-92, 94, 100, 102, 106, 107, 109, 110, 124-126, 129, 130 and 132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Loon (US 2,588,435).

Van Loon teaches a process wherein free fatty acids are esterified with an excess of glycerol in the presence of absence of a catalyst at 225 °C (see col. 1, lines 1-6, 44-51; col. 2, lines 3-10, 24-32) to form a mixture of mono-, di-, and triglycerides. This mixture is then submitted to alcoholysis with ethyl or methyl alcohol (see col. 3, lines 13-27; col. 4, lines 54-56) to form ethyl or methyl esters of fatty acids and glycerides (see col. 3, lines 27-29). The esters may be separated from the glycerides by distillation (see col. 3, lines 44-47). The catalyst may be an alkali catalyst such as hydroxides or alkali compounds of polyhydric alcohols (see col. 3, lines 61-66). It should be noted that Applicant intended use is given no way since Van Loon teaches the same process steps and the same end product.

Accordingly, Van Loon teaching all the limitations of the claims anticipates the claims.

10. Claims 84, 95-97, 100, 127, 128, 131, 138-140 and 142-145 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Art Unit: 1714

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714